Community Engagement Summary Dakota Plains Wahpeton First Nation

Licensing and Environmental Assessment

| Date | Methods of Engagement | |
|-------------------|--|--|
| February 21, 2008 | Letter from Manitoba Hydro requesting an Introductory Bipole III Meeting with leadership | |
| June 25, 2008 | Introductory meeting with leadership. | |
| August 15, 2008 | Letter from Manitoba Hydro providing information about regional introductory public open | |
| | houses and suggesting that a community open house be planned in the community | |
| November 26, 2008 | Round 2 Community Open House | |
| May 26, 2009 | Letter from Manitoba Hydro requesting participation in the ATK process | |
| August 24, 2009 | Letter from Manitoba Hydro indicating that Manitoba Hydro had selected a series of alternative | |
| | route options and would like to hold a community open house in Dakota Plains First Nation | |
| January 4, 2010 | Manitoba Hydro sent a poster and fax for the Community Open House | |
| July 27, 2010 | Letter from Manitoba Hydro indicating that Manitoba Hydro had selected a preliminary | |
| | preferred route for Bipole III | |
| October 15, 2010 | Round Four Meeting with Dakota Plains First Nation Leadership | |
| October 18, 2010 | Letter from Manitoba Hydro discussing ATK workshop process | |
| November 4, 2010 | Letter from Dakota Plains regarding the ATK workshop | |
| November 5, 2010 | Letter from Manitoba Hydro in response to Dakota Plains letter | |
| November 16, 2010 | ATK Group Workshop | |
| March 8, 2011 | Letter from Manitoba Hydro including education and employment brochures | |
| January 26, 2015 | MH sent a letter to Dakota Plains Wahpeton First Nation requesting to meet with the community | |
| | to review the EPP. The letter was accompanied with a link to the following documents: the | |
| | Transmission Access Management Plan, the Bipole III Environmental Protection Plan, the Bipole | |

III Transmission Line Construction Environmental Protection Plan (CEnvPP) for Segments S1 and S2, and the Culture and Heritage Resource Protection Plan (CHRPP). The letter included a standard Bipole III Cultural and Heritage Resource Protection Notification Protocol for the community to fill-in and a printed copy of the Culture and Heritage Resource Protection Plan. A copy of the Access Management brochure was also sent.

| Category | Summary of Information | Response and/or Mitigation | EPP Component |
|----------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Berries | Harvest plants, fruit, berry and | Manitoba Hydro will consider non-chemical | Vegetation |
| | traditional plant area and | vegetation management in clearly identified | Management Plan |
| | concerned about a loss of | sensitive sites that contain plants of | |
| | domestic income and an increase | importance to resource harvesters. | |
| | in financial burden | | |
| EMFs | Concerns regarding impact of | Based on reviews by national and | |
| | EMFs on animals, plants, people. | international scientific agencies there are no | |
| | | known adverse health effects associated with | |
| | | EMF from ac or dc transmission lines. | |
| Sensitive site | Indicated that many sensitive | All construction activities will be monitored | Environmental |
| | sites have never been identified | by a Manitoba Hydro environmental | Protection Program |
| | | inspector to ensure compliance with the | |
| | | Environment Act licence and the | |
| | | Environmental Protection Plan. Manitoba | |
| | | Hydro has offered to meet with Dakota Plains | |
| | | First Nation, to review the Draft | |

| Category | Summary of Information | Response and/or Mitigation | EPP Component |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
| | | Environmental Protection Program (EPP) for | |
| | | the Bipole III Transmission Project. The intent | |
| | | of the meeting is to review the proposed | |
| | | mitigation and monitoring plans and to | |
| | | discuss the specific mitigation and | |
| | | monitoring activities that relate to the | |
| | | concerns raised by communities. | |
| Fishing | Concerns about loss of | Surface and groundwater quality will not be | Biophysical Monitoring |
| | recreational activities for | degraded whether or not they contain fish. | Plan |
| | community members and | Measures such as buffers and setbacks, | |
| | potential shoreline damage to | erosion and sedimentation control measures | |
| | the Assiniboine River due to | and stream crossing measures will be | |
| | construction activities and | utilized. The final preferred route was | |
| | operations and maintenance. | selected to minimize stream crossings. | |
| | Also concerned about increased | | |
| | access by non-community | | |
| | members into area of high | | |
| | cultural value for its fishing | | |
| | resources and loss of fish habitat | | |
| Heritage | Concerned about loss of | Manitoba Hydro acknowledges the need for | Culture and Heritage |
| resources | potential heritage resources | careful protection and respect for all culture | Resources Protection |
| | | and heritage resources. The EPP includes a | Plan |
| | | Culture and Heritage Resource Protection | |
| | | Plan to safeguard and appropriately handle | |
| | | culture and heritage resources discovered | |
| | | during the construction of the Project. | |

| Category | Summary of Information | Response and/or Mitigation | EPP Component |
|----------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | | Manitoba Hydro anticipates working with the | |
| | | community to develop a protocol for in the | |
| | | event of a discovery of a previously | |
| | | unrecorded heritage or cultural resource. | |
| Hunting | Concerned about fragmentation | Manitoba Hydro has identified the following | Access Management |
| | and loss of hunting areas and | mitigation measures to reduce the effects on | Plan |
| | alternative food source. Hunt | traditional food: | |
| | prairie chickens, partridges and | Construction and site decommissioning | |
| | rabbits. | activities will be carried out during the winter | |
| | | months; | |
| | | Where construction and site | |
| | | decommissioning activities do not occur | |
| | | during winter months, disturbances will be | |
| | | minimized in areas of plants used by | |
| | | Aboriginal people as identified through the | |
| | | ATK process; | |
| | | Whenever possible, existing trails, roads | |
| | | and cut lines will be used as access routes; | |
| | | Hunting and fishing by Project personnel | |
| | | will be prohibited within Project footprint, | |
| | | and firearms restricted in work camps; | |
| | | Manitoba Hydro will work with individual | |
| | | communities that have identified important | |
| | | resource use sites that are in close proximity | |
| | | to the Project Site/Footprint to minimize | |
| | | potential effects | |

| Category | Summary of Information | Response and/or Mitigation | EPP Component |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Trapping | Trapping of beaver, muskrat and | In general, species that are trapped for fur, | Socio-Economic |
| | mink along river and creeks in | food and income respond similarly to | Monitoring Plan |
| | identified area along Assiniboine | disturbance as any other species of wildlife. | |
| | River for several generations. | However, some furbearing animals are | |
| | Concerns about increased access | generally not affected by winter transmission | |
| | for others and potential | line construction. Given the short-term | |
| | fragmentation of trap line areas. | duration of construction activities in any one | |
| | | area, effects on furbearing animals and other | |
| | | wildlife are expected to be minor. | |