MEMORANDUM

September 30th, 2002

TO:

L.E.R.A. File 3610

FROM:

Judge Murray Howell

Re:

Hearing date - September 24, 2002 at 10:00 a.m.

in courtroom 408

Appearances: Frances Telford for the complainant, M

U Paul McKenna for the police officers, Cst. P O , Cst.

G V Denis Guenette counsel for L.E.R.A.

The complainant M U did not attend. No evidence was presented. There was a motion made by counsel for the police officers for dismissal of the complaint. This motion was granted. There was a further motion for an order of non-publication pursuant to s. 25 of the Act. This too was granted.

The hearing then adjourned.

Judge Murray Howell

MH/mrb

c. George Wright

Commissioner, L.E.R.A.

LAW ENFORCEMENT

OCT 0 2 2002

REVIEW AGENCY

IN THE MATTER OF:

Law Enforcement Review Act

Complaint No. 3610

BETWEEN:

M U

Complainant,

- and -

P O and G V

Respondents.

EXCERPT FROM PROCEEDINGS had and taken before The Honourable Judge Howell, held at the Law Courts Complex, 408 York Avenue, in the City of Winnipeg, Province of Manitoba, on the 10th day of September, 2002.

APPEARANCES:

MR. D. GUENETTE, for the Commissioner

MS. F. TELFORD, for the Complainant.

MR. P. MCKENNA, for the Winnipeg Police Association

1 EXCERPT FROM SEPTEMBER 10, 2002

2

13

1415

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

Well this, as I indicated, this matter has been, the incident giving rise to this matter occurred over four years ago, so it's been going on for a long period of time. It's been set for, for hearing and was unable to go ahead and that was almost a year ago and here it is again.

9 Counsel has made an application, counsel on behalf 10 of the complainant has made an application to have this 11 matter further adjourned from the September 24th and 25th 12 dates it's now set for.

I'm generally amenable to having matters adjourned. Counsel didn't identify, and I'm not going to request that counsel do so I'm just commented, making the observation that counsel didn't identify the reason for the adjournment other than that there was a personal conflict with the, with the complainant who would not be able to be here on the September 24th date.

Given the length of time that this, this matter has, has taken, and appreciative of the fact that it took some period of time with the commissioner's office. And also appreciative of the fact that hearings have been adjourned before, albeit for identifiable reasons, and not the fault of the complainant, I'm, I'm not inclined to adjourn the matter further without more compelling reasons being advanced by the complainant for the adjournment.

28 If you wish to discuss the matter further --

29 MS. T : I would Your Honour.

THE COURT: -- with your client I'll give you a recess of 15 minutes or so, or 20 minutes or so, if you want to advance any further reasons to me. But with the reasons advanced before me now I'm not inclined to grant an adjournment.

34

: Fifteen minutes would be fine Your MS. T 1 2 Honour. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. 4 5 (BRIEF RECESS) 6 Thank you, Your Honour, for the 7 MS. opportunity to speak with Mrs. U 8 9 declines to advise the Court with more particularity as to her, the personal nature of her request, 10 and as such we can indicate to the Court that she will not 11 be appearing on September the 24th and 25th with respect to 12 13 the hearing of this matter. THE COURT: Neither day she'll be appearing on? 14 15 MS. TELFORD: That's correct. THE COURT: That she anticipates. Fine, I'm sorry 16 but we'll be proceeding on, on those dates, so. 17 Your Honour I would move at this MR. MCKENNA: 18 19 time then that you would dismiss the complaint and if we have then, based on their undertaking that they're not going 20 to be there, I would move that you do that at that, at this 21 22 time rather then wait until then. 23 THE COURT: Well I'm going to -- we, we never know 24 what's going to happen. We, we think they're not going to 25 be there but they may well be there. I don't know the nature of why she won't, she can't attend or she feels she 26 27 can't attend at this point in time, but that is the likely 28 result on the September 24th date. But I'm not going to 29 dismiss it at this point in time. 30 Are you going to be here? 31 MS. TELFORD: I will come and repeat what I've 32 said this afternoon. 33 THE COURT: Fine.

MS. TELFORD: Just indicate to the Court that I, I

- 1 will do that.
- THE COURT: Fine. And if you could maybe just
- 3 phone my office about two days before?
- 4 MS. TELFORD: I think it's set for Tuesday, I'll
- 5 phone on Friday.
- 6 THE COURT: Well could you phone on Friday --
- 7 MS. TELFORD: Yes.
- 8 THE COURT: -- and just confirm what you said
- 9 today or --
- 10 MS. TELFORD: Otherwise.
- 11 THE COURT: -- maybe indicate that she's, that
- 12 she's going to proceed. And if you receive information
- 13 prior to that date of any change would you please notify Mr.
- 14 McKenna immediately.
- MS. TELFORD: Immediately.
- 16 THE COURT: And Mr. McKenna I understand that you
- 17 may have subpoenaed or in the process of subpoenaing --
- MR. MCKENNA: Process.
- 19 THE COURT: -- several witnesses for that --
- MR. MCKENNA: Yes Your Honour.
- 21 THE COURT: -- for those dates. Would, I'm not
- 22 going to require you to have the witnesses there on the
- 23 first day. Are these local witnesses or anybody from out of
- 24 town?
- 25 MR. MCKENNA: Everybody that I know of is local
- 26 Your Honour.
- 27 THE COURT: Right, and if something happened that
- 28 we, the thing is sort of revived, I, I certainly would be
- 29 inclined to be somewhat sympathetic about witnesses that
- 30 weren't able to attend, witnesses of your client who were,
- 31 were not able to attend. So you'll contact me on the Friday
- 32 before or my office on the Friday before.
- MS. TELFORD: And I will immediately contact Mr.
- 34 McKenna if there's any change in our position as stated

1	today	Your Honour.							
2		THE	COURT:	Right.	Okay,	thank	you.		
3		MS.	TELFORD	: Than	ık you.				
4		THE	CLERK:	Order	all ris	e, cour	ct is	closed.	
5			(EXCERPT CONCLUDED)						

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT

I, ALAIN ROCH, hereby certify that the foregoing pages of printed matter, numbered 1 to 4 are a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings recorded by a sound recording device that has been approved by the Attorney-General and operated by court clerk/monitor, Mary Evans, and has been transcribed by me to the best of my skill and ability.

COURT TRANSCRIBER

CERTIFIED COURT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE OFFICE OF TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES UNIT

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES UNIT

IN THE MATTER OF:

Law Enforcement Review Act Complaint No. 3610

BETWEEN:

M. U

Complainant,

- and -

CONSTABLE P O #1959, And G V #1707

Respondents.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, had and taken before The Honourable Judge Howell, held at the Law Courts Complex, 408 York Avenue, in the City of Winnipeg, Province of Manitoba, on the 24th day of September, 2002.

APPEARANCES:

MS. F. TELFORD, for the Complainant

MR. D. GUENETTE, for the Commissioner

MR. P. MCKENNA, for the Respondents

SEPTEMBER 24, 2002 SUBMISSION BY MR. MCKENNA REASONS FOR DECISION

24

25

26

SEPTEMBER 24, 2002 1 2 THE JUDGE: Ms. Telford, this hearing is going to 3 be fairly short. I don't see your client here. 4 MS. TELFORD: She's not present this morning, as I 5 had indicated at our previous hearing. 6 THE JUDGE: Right. And Mr. McKenna is renewing 7 his motion to have the matter dismissed. 8 MR. MCKENNA: That's correct, Your Honour. My 9 motion is pursuant to Section 27(2) of the legislation, that 10 because you have not heard evidence of the disciplinary 11 default at all, that you ought to dismiss the complaint. 12 And further, I'm asking you to order a ban on publication 13 pursuant to Section 25 of the legislation. 14 15 THE JUDGE: Any comments? MR. GUENETTE: No comments from us, Your Honour. 16 MS. TELFORD: No comment. 17 Well, obviously, without 18 THE JUDGE: complainant here we can't proceed further and I'm going to 19 grant both motions made by Mr. McKenna on behalf of the 20 officers. So this complaint is dismissed and as a result of 21 that dismissal, I'm going to order a ban on publication 22 pursuant to Section 25, is that --23

MR. MCKENNA: That's correct, Your Honour.

(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)

THE JUDGE: Pursuant to Section 25 of the Act.

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT

I, KIMBERLEY M. POHORILY, hereby certify that the foregoing page of printed matter, numbered 1, is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings recorded by a sound recording device that has been approved by the Attorney-General and operated by court clerk/monitor, Stephanie Schnell, and has been transcribed by me to the best of my skill and ability.

COURT TRANSCRIBER

CERTIFIED COURT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE OFFICE OF TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES UNIT

TRANSCRIPTION SERVICES LINE